

Time to first treatment is associated with a refractory course of rheumatoid arthritis

M Bécède¹, F Alasti¹, I Gessl¹, L Haupt², L Hütter², A Kerschbaumer¹, U Landesmann¹, GM Supp¹, JS Smolen^{1, 2} & D Aletaha¹

1 Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine III, Medical University of Vienna

2 Department of Medicine II, Hietzing Hospital Vienna

Background

It is an ongoing matter of research, whether the natural course of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) can be altered by an early intervention, a concept historically referred to as the "window of opportunity".¹⁻³ So far, only short-term disease activity outcomes have been investigated (e.g. "remission off drugs"), which are, however, inherently affected by the unknown rate of underlying rate of self-limiting disease. It is unclear, whether among those, who really develop RA, the disease course is really affected by the timing of their initial treatment.

Objective

To explore whether the long-term course of RA is different according to the delay of initial treatment.

Patients and Methods

Patients were identified from a longitudinal clinical database, and patients with refractory RA ("reRA") were compared with patients with treatment amenable RA ("taRA"). ReRA was defined as \geq 3 treatment courses (\geq 1 biological) over ≥ 18 months since diagnosis without reaching low disease activity (LDA) or remission (REM) defined by a Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI, \geq 10); taRA patients reached at least LDA within their first 2 treatment courses. We performed both matched and adjusted logistic regression analysis to compare differences in timing of first treatment between these two groups.

Refractory RA (reRA)

Moderate/High disease activity

≥ 3 treatment courses

 \geq 1 biological treatment Disease duration \geq 18 months Therapeutically amenable RA (taRA) Remission/low disease activity ≤ 2 treatment courses Disease duration ≥ 18 months

Results

We enrolled 412 patients, of whom 70 were reRA and 102 taRA; 240 patients fulfilled neither definition. As shown in table 1, reRA patients were more frequently female (92.9 vs. 70.6%, p<0.001), younger (44.37 vs. 51.14 years, p=0.002), and had higher CDAI levels at first presentation (26.06 vs. 15 p<0.001); time to first DMARD treatment was significantly longer for reRA than taRA (3.17 vs. 1.45 years, p=0.001).

In the multivariate model of all 412 patients, these differences were significant for treatment delay (p=0.007), female gender (p=0.026) and disease activity (p=0.001); after matching reRA with taRA patients for the time point of their initial presentation at our clinic, treatment delay was significantly longer when tested univariately (p=0.013) as well as after adjustment for other significant predictors (p=0.027, table 2). We then developed a matrix model based on this analysis with predicted probabilities of developing reRA (figure 1).

Features	Population Differe					erences (p-va	ences (p-value)	
Characteristics	reRA (n=70)	Other (n=240)	taRA (n=102)	reRA matched** (n=50)	taRA matched** (n=50)	Across all three groups	reRA vs taRA (unmatched)	reRA vs taRA (matched**)
Female (%)	92.9	75.4	70.6	94.0	70.0	0.002	<0.001	0.002
Symptom Onset (month/year)	03/2002 (09/1993; 01/2006)	02/2003 (12/1995; 11/2008)	07/2007 (11/2002; 02/2011)	02/2004 (01/1997; 10/2007	12/2006 (10/2000; 11/2009	<0.001	<0.001	0.064
Age at Symptom Onset (years)	44.37 (14.44)	46.88 (14.53)	51.14 (14.08)	46.73 (14.02)	53.42 (12.11)	0.006	0.002	0.012
First Visit (month/year)	12/2005 (03/2002; 03/2009)	06/2006 (08/2001; 08/2010)	12/2009 (10/2005; 11/2012)	06/2007 (12/2003; 07/2010	07/2007 09/2004; 08/2010)	<0.001	<0.001	0.786
Time to First Treatment (years)	3.17 (4.10)	1.38 (2.61)	1.45 (2.80)	2.61 (3.76)	0.88 (0.97)	<0.001	0.001	0.003
RF pos. (%)	58.6	57.7	62.7	56.0	58.0	0.687	0.581	0.840
ACPA pos. (%)	61.4	60.3	63.7	62.0	62.0	0.833	0.759	1
CRP (mg/dL)	2.12 (2.41)	2.10 (2.41)	2.27 (3.67)	2.20 (2.57)	2.92 (4.77)	0.781	0.775	0.361
ESR (mm/h)	41.09 (27.35)	33.04 (25.32)	38.49 (30.21)	40.92 (26.83)	38.87 (30.16)	0.080	0.609	0.752
HAQ (0-3)	1.219 (0.975)	0.792 (0.700)	0.762 (0.736)	1.257 (0.948)	0.859 (0.693)	0.001	0.003	0.044
PGA (0-100)	58.65 (24.25)	43.03 (25.25)	43.11 (23.62)	60.16 (25.75)	45.51 (18.77)	<0.001	<0.001	0.003
EGA (0-100)	39.22 (20.42)	31.72 (21.29)	24.25 (18.94)	37.67 (21.38)	26.16 (19.46)	<0.001	<0.001	0.009
TJC 28 (0-28)	9.81 (7.06)	5.42 (6.54)	4.18 (4.76)	8.90 (6.73)	5.36 (5.34)	<0.001	<0.001	0.005
SJC 28 (0-28)	6.35 (5.66)	5.07 (4.74)	4.30 (4.42)	6.00 (4.98)	4.30 (4.63)	0.026	0.009	0.083
CDAI (0-76)	26.06 (12.22)	17.94 (12.49)	15.39 (9.81)	25.36 (11.98)	16.93 (9.42)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
SDAI (CDAI+CRP)	28.26 (13.28)	21.09 (18.90)	18.08 (11.88)	27.63 (12.66)	20.39 (12.57)	0.001	<0.001	0.009
First X-ray (0- 440)	37.78 (50.16)	36.11 (58.88)	25.68 (57.76)	25.64 (36.05)	26.56 (59.22)	0.359	0.198	0.843
Current CDAI (0-76)	20.58 (10.13)	6.80 (7.90)	3.08 (2.76)	20.93 (10.03)	3.07 (2.90)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Current SDAI (CDAI+CRP)	22.39 (10.54)	6.96 (7.50)	3.41 (2.84)	23.06 (10.27)	3.53 (3.18)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Table 1. Patient characteristics at first clinical visit. Date (median, quartiles) / Mean (SD) / %, as applicable * ANOVA or Chi ² as appropriate.** matched for date of first clinical visit								

	Cohort study: reRA n=70, non-ReRA n=342				Case / control study: reRA n=50, taRA n=50*			
Characteristics	Univariate		Multivariate		Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR (95% CI)	Sig. (p)	OR (95% CI)	Sig. (p)	OR (95% CI)	Sig. (p)	OR (95% CI)	Sig. (p)
Time to First Treatment	1.15 (1.07; 1.24)	<0.001	1.12 (1.03; 1.21)	0.007	1.63 (1.11; 2.38)	0.013	1.75 (1.07; 2.87)	0.027
Female gender	4.57 (1.78; 11.72)	0.002	3.07 (1.14; 8.23)	0.026	6.71 (1.80; 25.00)	0.005	6.92 (1.43; 33.56)	0.016
Age at Symptom Onset	0.98 (0.97; 1.00)	0.048	0.99 (0.96; 1.01)	0.181	0.96 (0.93; 0.99)	0.015	0.97 (0.93; 1.01)	0.093
CDAI	1.06 (1.03; 1.08)	<0.001	1.06 (1.03; 1.08)	0.001	1.08 (1.03; 1.14)	0.001	1.09 (1.03; 1.15)	0.002

in a cohort study (logistic regression model) * matched for date of first clinical visit

Conclusion

Our data suggest that delay to initial treatment affects the long-term course of RA. Earlier treatment initiation thus may change the severity of RA.

		reRA vs. non-reRA					
	Female	10.6 (2.8; 44.3)	36.6 (18.4; 59.7)	51.8 (29.9; 72.9)	>2 years		
		3.4 (0.8; 25.3)	15.2 (6.1; 33.3)	25.1 (11.2; 47.0)	>1; ≤2 years		
Cender		2.1 (0.5; 20.3)	10.8 (4.6; 23.3)	18.6 (9.0; 34.6)	≤1 year	Time to First	
Gender	Male	3.1 (0.5; 33.0)	13.2 (3.5; 45.9)	22.1 (6.6; 60.6)	>2 years	Treatment	
		0.9 (0.1; 25.3)	4.6 (1.0; 24.9)	8.3 (2.0; 36.0)	>1; ≤2 years	_	
		0.6 (0.1; 19.9)	3.0 (0.7; 18.4)	5.8 (1.5; 26.8)	≤1 year	-	
		$REM/LDA (\leq 10)$	MDA (>10, ≤22)	HDA (>22)			

Disease Activity (CDAI)

Figure 1. Matrix risk model for the probability (95% CI) of reRA including all selected baseline risk factors estimated for the first clinical visit in 2010.

References

Quinn MA et al. Window of opportunity in early rheumatoid arthritis: possibility of altering the disease process with early intervention. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2003 Oct;21(5 Suppl 31):S154-7.
 Smolen JS et al. Therapeutic strategies in early rheumatoid arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2005 Feb;19(1):163-177.
 Van Nies JAB et al. What is the evidence for the presence of a therapeutic window of opportunity in rheumatoid arthritis? A systematic literature review. Ann Rheum Dis, 2014. 73(5): p. 861-70.
 Van Nies JAB et al. What is the evidence for the presence of a therapeutic window of opportunity in rheumatoid arthritis? A systematic literature review. Ann Rheum Dis, 2014. 73(5): p. 861-70.